

Equifocal families in symmetric spaces of compact type

Martina Brück

Abstract

An equifocal submanifold M of a symmetric space N of compact type induces a foliation with singular leaves on N . In this paper we will show how to reconstruct the equifocal foliation starting from one of the singular leaves, the so-called *focal manifolds*. To be more concrete: The equifocal submanifold is equal to a partial tube $\tilde{B}_\xi$ around the focal manifold and we will show how to construct $\tilde{B}_\xi$ in this paper. Moreover, we will find a geometrical characterization of focal manifolds.

1 Introduction

The classification of group actions on a given manifold and the description of the geometry of their orbits are important problems in Riemannian geometry. As the set of different group actions is huge, one usually has to restrict the considerations to certain classes of group actions, for example by assuming some “nice” properties of the orbits. In this paper we want to study *hyperpolar actions* on symmetric spaces and *equifocal* (singular) foliations. An operation on a symmetric space is called *hyperpolar* if it admits a flat section. This means, there exists a flat, totally geodesic, closed subspace of the ambient space that meets every orbit and meets it orthogonally at every intersection point. A certain class of hyperpolar actions was introduced by Hermann in [Her]: Let (G, K) and $(G, \tilde{K})$ be two Riemannian symmetric pairs of compact type. Then, $\tilde{K}$ acts hyperpolarly on G/K .

Let $M \subset N$ be a connected Riemannian submanifold of a symmetric space and $\exp^\perp := (\exp^N|_{\perp M})$ the restriction of $\exp^N$ to the *normal bundle* $\perp M$ of $M \subset N$. A vector $v \in \perp_p M$ is called a *focal normal of multiplicity* $l > 0$ if the kernel of $\exp^\perp_{*|v}$ is l -dimensional. $\exp^\perp(v)$ is then called a *focal point of multiplicity* l . We say that M has *constant focal distances* if for every curve $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ and every parallel normal vector field w along c the kernel of the differential of $\exp^\perp$ is constant along w , that is to say $\dim(\ker(\exp^\perp_{*|w(t)})) = \text{constant}$. A submanifold is called *equifocal* if it has *abelian* and *globally flat normal bundle* and *constant focal distances*. Here, we say that M has *abelian normal bundle* if $\exp^N(\perp_p M)$ is contained in a flat, totally geodesic submanifold for all $p \in M$. We say that M has *globally flat normal bundle* if the normal holonomy group ϕ_p at any point $p \in M$ is trivial. And we say that M has *flat normal bundle* if the reduced normal holonomy group ϕ_p^* is trivial.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C35.
Supported by Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst (DAAD)

Terng and Thorbergsson showed in [TT] (compare Theorem 2.1) that the principal orbits of hyperpolar actions on symmetric spaces of compact type are equifocal. If $\tilde{M} \subset N$ is an embedded equifocal submanifold of a symmetric space of compact type and $\tilde{\xi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \perp \tilde{M}$ is a globally defined parallel normal vector field then $\exp^N(\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{M})) =: M$ is an embedded submanifold of N (compare [TT]). If $\dim(\tilde{M}) = \dim(M)$ then M is *locally equifocal* (maybe the normal holonomy group is not trivial, but still it is discrete). If $\dim(\tilde{M}) > \dim(M)$ then M is called a *focal manifold of $\tilde{M}$* . The singular orbits of hyperpolar actions on symmetric spaces of compact type are focal manifolds of the principal ones. Up to now only little was known about the properties of the singular orbits or more generally: about the focal manifolds of equifocal ones. And it was not clear if and in which way the equifocal manifolds can be reconstructed starting from a given focal manifold. In this paper we will prove:

The equifocal submanifold is equal to a partial tube $\exp^N(B_\xi) = \tilde{B}_\xi$ around the focal manifold. The fibres of B_ξ are homogeneous. To be more concrete they are orbits of a Lie subgroup $\hat{G}_p \subset SO(\perp_p M)$ and we will show how to construct the group in this paper. $\hat{G}_p \subset SO(\perp_p M)$ acts *polarly* on $\perp_p M$, this is to say that there exists some linear subspace of $\perp_p M$ which meets every orbit and meets it perpendicularly at every intersection point:

Theorem *Let (G, K) be a Riemannian symmetric pair of compact type, such that $N = G/K$ is simply connected. Let N be endowed with the metric coming from the Killing form on $\mathfrak{g}$, the Lie algebra of G . (This metric we will call “Killing metric”). Let $\tilde{M} \subset N$ be an equifocal manifold and M a focal manifold. Let $\hat{G}_p$ be as in Definition 3.1, $\xi \in \perp_p M$ so that $\exp^N(\xi) \in \tilde{M}$ and $B_\xi := \{(\|_0^1 c) \hat{G}_p \xi \mid c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, c(0) = p\}$. Then, we get $\tilde{B}_\xi := \exp^N(B_\xi) = \tilde{M}$.*

Moreover, we will find a characterization for the focal manifolds of equifocal submanifolds:

Lemma *Let (G, K) be a Riemannian symmetric pair of compact type, such that $N = G/K$ is simply connected. Let N be endowed with the Killing metric. Let $\tilde{M} \subset N$ be an equifocal manifold and M a focal manifold. Then, $\hat{G}_p$ and the normal parallel transport of M preserve the focal structure of M .*

The converse is also true:

Theorem *Let M be simply connected and $i : M \rightarrow N$ an isometric immersion into a symmetric space which has nonpositive or nonnegative sectional curvature. Let M admit an ε -tube for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let ξ (with $\|\xi\| < \varepsilon$) lie in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_p$ and $\tilde{B}_\xi$ be the tube around M defined as above. Then, $\tilde{B}_\xi$ is an immersed submanifold of N . The immersion $\exp^N : B_\xi \rightarrow N$ has globally flat and abelian normal bundle. If $\hat{G}_p$ and the normal parallel transport of M preserve the focal structure of M then the immersion is equifocal and M is a focal manifold of $\tilde{B}_\xi$.*

In section 2 we will recall some facts about *holonomy systems* which were introduced by Simons in [Sim]. In section 3 we construct the Lie group $\hat{G}_p \subset SO(\perp_p M)$

and show that it acts polarly on $\perp_p M$ by using the theory of holonomy systems and algebraic curvature tensors. In section 4 we will prove the second Theorem mentioned above. In section 5 we consider a smooth vector bundle $E : [0, 1] \rightarrow TN$ along some curve $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow N$. Here, N shall be of compact type. If $\exp^N(E(t))$ is totally geodesic, flat and closed in N (and hence a flat torus) for all $t \in [0, 1]$ then there exists some curve $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow I(M)$ into the isometry group of N with $g(t)_*E(0) = E(t)$ for all t . This fact will be important in section 6, where we will prove the first Theorem and the Lemma mentioned above.

2 Preliminaries

Let N be an n -dimensional Riemannian symmetric space, M an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold and $i : M \rightarrow N$ an isometric immersion. We will identify $p \in M$ with $i(p) \in N$. Throughout this paper $\pi^\perp : \perp M \rightarrow M$ denotes the normal bundle of M and $\nabla^\perp$ denotes the covariant derivative of the normal bundle induced from the Levi Civita derivative of N . $(\parallel_0^1 c)$ denotes the induced parallel transport along piecewise smooth curves $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ and $R^\perp$ the normal curvature tensor. All curves are piecewise smooth. Moreover, $\phi_p := \{(\parallel_0^1 \gamma) : \perp_p M \rightarrow \perp_p M \mid \gamma(0) = \gamma(1) = p\}$ denotes the *normal holonomy group of M in p* and ϕ_p^* its identity component, the so-called *reduced normal holonomy group of M in p* . Furthermore, $\pi : TN \rightarrow N$ denotes the tangent bundle of N , ∇^N or ∇ the Levi Civita connection, $(\parallel_0^1 c)$ the induced parallel transport along curves $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow N$. As this parallel transport is different from the $\nabla^\perp$ -transport (the so-called *normal parallel transport*) we have chosen another symbol. R^N or R denotes the curvature tensor of ∇^N and A the shape operator of M in N . For $\xi_p \in \perp_p M$ we define

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\text{Hol}}_{\xi_p}(M) &:= \{(\parallel_0^1 c)\xi_p \mid c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, c(0) = p\}, \\ \text{Hol}_{\xi_p}(M) &:= \exp^N(\widetilde{\text{Hol}}_{\xi_p}(M))\end{aligned}$$

and will call both of them a *holonomy tube* around M .

In the following sections we will assume that M has an ε -tube in N for some $\varepsilon > 0$. This means that for all $p \in M$ there exists an open neighbourhood V of p in M such that $\exp^N|\{\nu \in \perp M \mid \|\nu\| < \varepsilon, \pi^\perp(\nu) \in V\}$ is a diffeomorphism onto an open subset $\tilde{V} \subset N$.

Now, let $\eta \in \perp_p M$ be fixed. In the following we will identify $T_\eta(\perp M)$ and $T_p M \oplus \perp_p M$ via the isomorphism $\dot{v}(0) \mapsto (\pi_*^\perp \dot{v}(0), \nabla_\partial^\perp v(0))$ for any curve $v : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \perp M$ such that $v(0) = \eta$.

Lemma 2.1 *Let γ be the geodesic in N such that $\dot{\gamma}(0) = \eta$. Let $R_\eta^N : T_p N \rightarrow T_p N$ be the Jacobi operator in the direction of η . As R_η^N is a selfadjoint operator we can choose an orthonormal basis $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ of $T_p N$ consisting of eigenvectors of R_η^N .*

Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be the corresponding eigenvalues. Then,

$$\exp_{*|\eta}^\perp = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \gamma \circ (\bar{D}^\eta \oplus D^\eta) : T_p M \oplus \perp_p M \rightarrow T_{\exp^N(\eta)} N,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} D^\eta : \perp_p M &\rightarrow T_p N, & z &\mapsto \sum_{h=1}^n \sin_{\lambda_h}(1) \langle z, w_h \rangle w_h \\ \bar{D}^\eta : T_p M &\rightarrow T_p N, & z &\mapsto \sum_{h=1}^n \cos_{\lambda_h}(1) \langle z, w_h \rangle w_h - \sin_{\lambda_h}(1) \langle A_\eta(z), w_h \rangle w_h. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\cos_\lambda$ and $\sin_\lambda$ are the solutions of the differential equation $y'' = -\lambda y$ with $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\cos_\lambda(0) = 1$, $\cos'_\lambda(0) = 0$, $\sin_\lambda(0) = 0$ and $\sin'_\lambda(0) = 1$.

In the following sections we need to know some facts about *holonomy systems* which were introduced by Simons in [Sim]. Let us therefore recall the definition and some of its properties: Let V be a Euclidean vector space, R an *algebraic curvature tensor* on V (this is to say a $(1, 3)$ -tensor on V that satisfies the curvature equalities (including the first Bianchi identity)). A compact subgroup $G \subset SO(V)$ is called a *holonomy group*, if $R_{x,y} \in \mathfrak{g}$ for all $x, y \in V$. A triple $S = [V, R, G]$ consisting of a Euclidean vector space V , an algebraic curvature tensor R and a connected holonomy group G is called a *holonomy system*. The reduced holonomy group of a Riemannian manifold is a holonomy group in the sense of Simons (this follows from [AS]). S is called *symmetric* if $g^{-1}R(g(), g())g = R$ for all $g \in G$. For example, this is the case for the holonomy group of a locally symmetric space. S is called *reducible* if G acts reducibly on V . Every irreducible symmetric holonomy system corresponds to a simply connected, irreducible symmetric space $N = \tilde{G}/H$ (where $\tilde{G} = I_0(M)$, the identity component of the isometry group of M) in such a way that $T_p N \cong V$ (for $p := [H] \in N$), that R is the curvature tensor of N in p and the action of G on V is the isotropy action of H on $T_p N$. For any irreducible holonomy system $S = [V, R, G]$ whose scalar curvature $s(R)$ does not vanish there exists a curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ such that $\tilde{S} = [V, \tilde{R}, G]$ is symmetric:

Lemma 2.2 (Simons) *Let $S = [V, R, G]$ be an irreducible holonomy system, $\mathfrak{g}^R$ the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(V)$, which is generated by $\{R_{x,y} \mid x, y \in V\}$ and G^R the connected Lie subgroup of $SO(V)$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{g}^R$. We assume $s(R) \neq 0$. Then, $G^R = G = N(G)$, where $N(G)$ is the normalizer of G in $SO(V)$. Moreover there exists another curvature tensor $\tilde{R}$ on V , such that $\tilde{S} = [V, \tilde{R}, G]$ is an irreducible symmetric holonomy system. If S is symmetric then $S = \tilde{S}$. (Here $\tilde{R} := \int_{N(G)} g^{-1}R(g(), g())g$ with Haar measure $\int_{N(G)} \cdot$)*

Let (G, K) be a Riemannian symmetric pair of compact type (or of noncompact type), $N := G/K$ a corresponding symmetric space and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ the Cartan decomposition corresponding to K . We assume K to be connected. Then, $\text{Ad}_G(K)$ acts polarly on $\mathfrak{p}$ and this action is called *s-representation*. Dadok showed the

converse (compare [Dad]): Let H act irreducibly and polarly on the Euclidean space V . Then, there exists an s -representation of some Lie group $K \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(V)$ on V which has the same orbits as H . The principal orbits of s -representations are *isoparametric*, this means they have globally flat normal bundle and constant focal distances. If we identify $T_p N$ (with $p := [K] \in N$) and $\mathfrak{p}$, then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} [x, y] &= -R^N(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in T_p N, \\ [A, B] &= AB - BA \text{ for all } A, B \in \mathfrak{k}, \\ [A, x] &= A(x) \text{ for all } A \in \mathfrak{k}, x \in T_p N, \end{aligned}$$

where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}$. If $N = G/K$ is of compact type then the negative of the Killing form B is a positive definite inner product on $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the inner product on $\mathfrak{p} = T_p N$ and without loss of generality $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = -B|_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let $z_0 \in T_p N$ and $M = Kz_0 \subset T_p N$ be the orbit of K through z_0 and K_{z_0} the corresponding isotropy group in $z_0 \in T_p N$. Let furthermore $\mathfrak{h}$ be the Lie algebra of K_{z_0} and $\mathfrak{m}$ the orthogonal complement of $\mathfrak{h}$ in $\mathfrak{k}$ corresponding to $-B|_{\mathfrak{k}}$. Then, we get:

$$T_{z_0} M = \{[\eta, z_0] \mid \eta \in \mathfrak{k}\} = \{[\eta, z_0] \mid \eta \in \mathfrak{m}\}.$$

One can easily check that

$$\perp_{z_0} M = \{\eta \in \mathfrak{p} \mid [\eta, z_0] = 0\} = \{\eta \in T_p N \mid R^N(\eta, z_0) = 0\}.$$

The normal parallel transport of the orbit M along the curve $\exp(tm)z_0$, $m \in \mathfrak{m}$, is given by $\exp(tm)_*$.

If (G, K) is of noncompact type we can consider it's dual pair and get the same results.

3 Construction of the polar action

In this section N shall be a symmetric space whose sectional curvature is nonpositive or nonnegative. Let M be a simply connected manifold and $i : M \rightarrow N$ an isometric immersion admitting an ε -tube for some $\varepsilon > 0$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let N be a symmetric space whose sectional curvature is nonnegative or nonpositive. Let $\eta, a \in T_q N$ be chosen arbitrarily. Then, $\langle R^N(\eta, a)\eta, a \rangle = 0$ implies $R^N(\eta, a) = 0$.*

proof Let $T_q N = W_0 \oplus \dots \oplus W_k$ be the de Rham decomposition of $T_q N$ and $R_q^N = \sum_{i=0}^k R_q^i$ the corresponding decomposition of the curvature tensor of N . Here, W_0 shall be the Euclidean component. For $\eta, a \in T_q N$ there exist $\eta^i, a^i \in W_i$ such that $\eta = \sum_{i=0}^k \eta^i$ and $a = \sum_{i=0}^k a^i$. By $0 = \langle R_q^N(\eta, a)\eta, a \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^k \langle R_q^i(\eta^i, a^i)\eta^i, a^i \rangle$

we get $\langle R_q^i(\eta^i, a^i)\eta^i, a^i \rangle = 0$ for all i , because we assumed the sectional curvature to be nonnegative or nonpositive. Hence, $R_q^i(\eta^i, a^i) = 0$ (the R_q^i 's for $i \geq 1$ correspond to irreducible symmetric spaces) and therefore $R_q^N(\eta, a) = 0$. *q.e.d.*

We define

$$(1) \quad \tilde{R}_p := \text{pr}_{\perp_p M} \circ (R_p^N|_{\perp_p M}),$$

where $\text{pr}_{\perp_p M} : T_p N \rightarrow \perp_p M$ is the orthogonal projection. It follows $\langle \tilde{R}_p(x, y)z, w \rangle = \langle R_p^N(x, y)z, w \rangle$ for all $x, y, z, w \in \perp_p M$ and $\tilde{R}_p$ is an algebraic curvature tensor in the sense of Simons. Let $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth curve with $c(0) = p$. Then,

$$(2) \quad (\|_0^1 c)^{-1} \tilde{R}_{c(1)} (\|_0^1 c) =: \tau_c(\tilde{R}_{c(1)})$$

is an algebraic curvature tensor on $\perp_p M$, too. Let $\hat{R}_p$ be the set of all such curvature tensors:

$$(3) \quad \hat{R}_p := \{\tau_c(\tilde{R}_{c(1)}) \mid c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, c(0) = p\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_p$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(\perp_p M)$ generated by $\{\tilde{R}(x, y) \mid \tilde{R} \in \hat{R}_p; x, y \in \perp_p M\}$ and G_p be the connected Lie subgroup of $SO(\perp_p M)$ whose Lie algebra is $\mathcal{L}_p$. Let $\perp_p M = V_0^p \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^p$ be the decomposition of $\perp_p M$ into G_p -invariant, for $i \geq 1$ irreducible subspaces, where V_0^p is the subspace on which G_p acts trivially. If we define $\tilde{R}^i := \text{pr}_i \circ (\tilde{R}|_{V_i^p})$ with the orthogonal projection $\text{pr}_i : \perp_p M \rightarrow V_i^p$ then $\tilde{R}(x, y) = \sum_{i=0}^k \tilde{R}^i(x_i, y_i)$, where $x_i, y_i \in V_i^p$ such that $x = \sum_{i=0}^k x_i$ and $y = \sum_{i=0}^k y_i$.

Now, let $\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{R}^i}$ be the Lie subalgebra of $\mathfrak{so}(\perp_p M)$, which is generated by all $\tilde{R}_{x_i, y_i}^i$ for $\tilde{R} \in \hat{R}_p$ and $x_i, y_i \in V_i^p$.

Lemma 3.2 *The spaces $\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{R}^i}$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, are ideals in $\mathcal{L}_p$. If G_p^i is the connected Lie subgroup of $SO(\perp_p M)$ with Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}^{\tilde{R}^i}$, then $G_p = \{\text{Id}_{V_0^p}\} \times G_p^1 \times \dots \times G_p^k$ is a direct product, where G_p^i acts trivially on V_j^p for $i \neq j$ and as an irreducible s -representation on V_i^p for $i \geq 1$.*

proof The proof of the fact that $G_p = \{\text{Id}_{V_0^p}\} \times G_p^1 \times \dots \times G_p^k$ is the same as in [Sim] (compare the Corollary on page 218 in [Sim]). And G_p^i is compact for all $i = 1, \dots, k$ by [KN1], appendix (5). For every $i \neq 0$ there exists a tensor $\tilde{R} \in \hat{R}_p$ such that $\tilde{R}^i := \text{pr}_i \circ (\tilde{R}|_{V_i^p}) \neq 0$. If $e_1, \dots, e_r$ is an orthonormal basis of V_i^p then the expression $\sum_{k,h=1}^r \langle \tilde{R}^i(e_h, e_k)e_h, e_k \rangle$ is a sum of nonnegative (or nonpositive) numbers, where at least one number is positive (negative) (compare Lemma 3.1). So, the scalar curvature of $\tilde{R}^i$ on V_i^p is nontrivial. By Lemma 2.2 there exists some curvature tensor $\bar{R}^i$ such that $[V_i^p, \bar{R}^i, G_p^i]$ is a symmetric holonomy system. Thus, we see that $G_p = \{\text{Id}_{V_0^p}\} \times G_p^1 \times \dots \times G_p^k$ acts as a product of s -representations and a trivial factor on $V_0^p \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^p$. *q.e.d.*

Lemma 3.3 ϕ_p^* leaves the subspaces V_i^p invariant and $(\phi_p^*|V_i^p) \subset G_p^i$ for $i \geq 1$. Moreover, there exists a decomposition $V_0^p = W_0 \oplus \dots \oplus W_l$ into ϕ_p^* -invariant subspaces and a decomposition $\phi_p^*|V_0^p = \{Id_{W_0}\} \times H_1 \times \dots \times H_l$ (direct product) such that $\phi_p^*|W_i = H_i$ acts as an irreducible s -representation for $i \geq 1$.

proof Let $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ be a piecewise smooth loop with starting point in p and $\tilde{R} \in \hat{R}_p$. By the construction of $\hat{R}_p$ we get $\tau_c(\tilde{R}) \in \hat{R}_p$ (for the definition of $\tau_c(\tilde{R})$ compare (2)) and it follows

$$(\|_0^1 c)^{-1} \tilde{R}(x, y) (\|_0^1 c) = \tau_c(\tilde{R})((\|_0^1 c)^{-1}(x), (\|_0^1 c)^{-1}(y)) \in \mathcal{L}_p,$$

for every $x, y \in \perp_p M$. Thus, $(\|_0^1 c)^{-1}(G_p)(\|_0^1 c) \subset G_p$. So, ϕ_p^* is contained in the normalizer of G_p in $SO(\perp_p M)$. As ϕ_p^* is connected the V_i^p 's are ϕ_p^* -invariant. So, $(\phi_p^*|V_i^p)$ is contained in the normalizer of G_p^i for $i \geq 1$ and by Lemma 2.2 we get $(\phi_p^*|V_i^p) \subset G_p^i$ for $i \geq 1$.

The construction of G_p described above can be done for any point $p \in M$. We define

$$E := \bigcup_{p \in M} V_0^p.$$

By the construction of G_p and of V_0^p we get that E is invariant under the $\nabla^\perp$ -parallel translation. Hence, E is a parallel smooth subbundle of $\perp M$. In order to prove that $\phi_p^*|V_0^p$ acts as a product of s -representations and a trivial factor we define an algebraic curvature tensor $\mathcal{R}_p^\perp$ on E_p , such that $S := [E_p, \mathcal{R}_p^\perp, \phi_p^*|V_0^p]$ is a holonomy system. Let therefore $C^\infty(E)$ be the set of all sections from M to E . The curvature tensor $R^\perp$ on $\perp M$ maps E_p to E_p because E is invariant under the $\nabla^\perp$ -parallel translation. Hence, we can define $\mathcal{R}^\perp : C^\infty(E)^3 \rightarrow C^\infty(E)$ by

$$\mathcal{R}_p^\perp(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_3 = \sum_{j=1}^m R_p^\perp(A_{\xi_1}(e_j), A_{\xi_2}(e_j))\xi_3$$

for $p \in M$, $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in E_p$, where A is the shape operator of $M \subset N$ and $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is any orthonormal basis of $T_p M$. As $R^N(\xi, \eta) = 0$ for all $\xi, \eta \in E_p$ (by the construction of E_p), the Ricci equation has a very simple form:

$$\langle R_p^\perp(x, y)\eta, \xi \rangle = \langle [A_\xi, A_\eta]x, y \rangle$$

for $\eta, \xi \in E_p, x, y \in T_p M$. Now, the proof that $\phi_p^*|V_0^p$ acts as a product of s -representations and a trivial factor is exactly the same as in [Ol1]. *q.e.d.*

Remark In general the Ricci equation is more complicated and therefore it was not possible until now to generalize the results in [HOT] and [Ol1] to arbitrary ambient spaces.

Definition 3.1 Let $\hat{G}_p \subset SO(\perp_p M)$ be the connected Lie subgroup whose Lie algebra is generated by $\mathcal{L}_p$ and the Lie algebra of $\phi_p^*|V_0^p$. Then, $\hat{G}_p$ acts on $V_1^p \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^p$ as a product of s -representations, because $\hat{G}_p|V_1^p \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^p = G_p$. And $\hat{G}_p$ acts on V_0^p as a product of s -representations and a trivial factor. Because $\hat{G}_p|V_0^p = \phi_p^*|V_0^p$.

By construction, the normal holonomy group ϕ_p^* is contained in $\hat{G}_p$. For submanifolds of the Euclidean space $\hat{G}_p$ and ϕ_p^* are the same. For submanifolds of the sphere this is not necessarily true, because $\hat{G}_p$ acts transitively on the unit sphere in $\perp_p M$ but ϕ_p^* might not. This corresponds to the fact that isoparametric submanifolds of codimension ≥ 2 of the sphere are not equifocal (because they do not have abelian normal bundle).

4 The tube $\tilde{B}_\xi$

M shall be simply connected unless otherwise stated. At the end of this section we will think about the case where M is not simply connected (compare Corollary 4.3).

4.1 Definition of the tube $\tilde{B}_\xi$

By the construction of $\hat{G}_p$ we get

$$(4) \quad (\|_0^1 c) \hat{G}_p (\|_0^1 c)^{-1} = \hat{G}_{c(1)}$$

for piecewise smooth curves $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, c(0) = p$. Let $\xi \in \perp_p M$ and $B_\xi \subset \perp M$ be the subset given by

$$(5) \quad B_\xi := \{(\|_0^1 c) \hat{G}_p \xi \mid c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, c(0) = p\}.$$

If $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$ is any curve with $c(0) = p, c(1) = q$ then by (4) we can conclude $(B_\xi)_q = \hat{G}_q (\|_0^1 c) \xi$, where $(B_\xi)_q$ is the fibre over q . As $\hat{G}_p \xi$ is compact $B_\xi \subset \perp M$ is an embedded submanifold. If M admits an ε -tube for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\|\xi\| < \varepsilon$ then $\exp^N|_{B_\xi} : B_\xi \rightarrow N$ is an immersion and

$$(6) \quad \tilde{B}_\xi := \exp^N(B_\xi)$$

is an immersed submanifold.

Remark Let us endow $\perp M$ with the Sasaki metric (this means $\langle X, Y \rangle_{\perp M} := \langle \pi_*^\perp(X), \pi_*^\perp(Y) \rangle_N + \langle K^\perp(X), K^\perp(Y) \rangle_N$ for $X, Y \in T_\eta(\perp M)$, $\eta \in \perp_q M$ and the connection map $K^\perp : T(\perp M) \rightarrow \perp M$). By the fact that B_ξ contains the holonomy tube $\widetilde{\text{Hol}}_\eta(M)$ for any $\eta \in (B_\xi)_q$ we get $\perp_\eta B_\xi \subset \perp_q M$, where $\perp_\eta B_\xi$ is the normal space of $B_\xi \subset \perp M$. By $\perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta)$ we will denote the normal space of $\hat{G}_q \eta \subset \perp_q M$ in $\perp_q M$. Then, we get $\perp_\eta B_\xi = \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta)$.

4.2 Abelian normal bundle

Definition 4.1 Let $\eta \in T_q N$. The *commutator of η in $T_q N$* is the set of all $\nu \in T_q N$ such that $R^N(\eta, \nu) = 0$. We say that a subspace $A \subset T_q N$ is *abelian* if $R^N(\xi, \nu) = 0$ for all $\xi, \nu \in A$.

If $A \subset T_q N$ is abelian then $\exp^N(A)$ is a flat, totally geodesic submanifold in N , a so-called *flat*.

Lemma 4.1 Let $\eta \in \perp_q M$ be chosen arbitrarily. Then, $R^N(\eta, a) = 0$ for all $a \in \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta) \subset \perp_q M$ (compare the last Remark). Thus, the normal space of the orbit $\hat{G}_q \eta$ in $\perp_q M$ is contained in the commutator of η in $T_q N$. The normal space is abelian if η lies in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_q$.

proof We fix $\eta \in \perp_q M$ and $a \in \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta)$. As $\tilde{R}_q(x, y)\eta$ is tangent to $\hat{G}_q \eta$ by construction of $\hat{G}_q$ we get $0 = \langle \tilde{R}_q(x, y)\eta, a \rangle = \langle R_q^N(x, y)\eta, a \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \perp_q M$, especially for a, η . As N is a symmetric space with nonnegative or nonpositive sectional curvature we get $R_q^N(\eta, a) = 0$ (compare Lemma 3.1). Thus, $\perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta)$ is contained in the commutator of η in $T_q N$. This is true for any orbit, not only for principal ones.

Now, let $\eta \in \perp_q M$ be in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_q$. We choose $\nu, \mu \in \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta)$. Especially, $\mu \in \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta) \subset \perp_\nu(\hat{G}_q \nu)$ because $\hat{G}_q$ acts polarly on $\perp_q M$ (compare Definition 3.1). So, we see $R^N(\nu, \mu) = 0$. *q.e.d.*

Remark Let $\eta \in \perp_q M \cap B_\xi$ (where ξ does not necessarily lie in a principal orbit) and $A \subset \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta)$ be an abelian subspace with $\eta \in A$. Then:

$$\exp_{*|\eta}^\perp(0, A) = T_{\exp^N(\eta)}(\exp^N(A)) \subset \perp_{\exp^N(\eta)}(\tilde{B}_\xi),$$

because $(\bar{D}^\eta \oplus D^\eta)(0, A) = 0$ and $(\bar{D}^\eta \oplus D^\eta)(T_q M, A^\perp) \subset T_q M \oplus A^\perp$, where $A^\perp$ is the orthogonal complement of A in $\perp_q M$ (compare Lemma 2.1).

Now, let ξ be in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_p$ and $\eta \in \perp_q M \cap B_\xi$. The normal space $A := \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta)$ of the orbit $\hat{G}_q \eta$ in $\perp_q M$ therefore is abelian in $T_q N$ (compare Lemma 4.1). By dimension arguments we get:

$$(7) \quad T_{\exp^N(\eta)}(\exp^N(A)) = \perp_{\exp^N(\eta)}(\tilde{B}_\xi).$$

Corollary 4.1 If ξ lies in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_p$ then the immersed tube $\tilde{B}_\xi$ has abelian normal bundle in N .

4.3 Flat normal bundle

From now on $\xi \in \perp_p M$ shall lie in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_p$. Let $O(\perp M)$ be the bundle of orthonormal bases of $\perp M$. Let $u = (p, u_1, \dots, u_l) \in O(\perp M)$ and $B^u \subset O(\perp M)$

the subbundle defined by

$$B^u := \{(\|_0^1 c) \hat{G}_p u \mid c(0) = p, c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M\}.$$

We identify $v = (q, v_1, \dots, v_l) \in (B^u)_q$ with the isometry $\perp_p M \rightarrow \perp_q M$, $(u_i \rightarrow v_i)_{i=1, \dots, l}$. By $(\|_0^1 c) \hat{G}_p (\|_0^1 c)^{-1} = \hat{G}_{c(1)}$ for all $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, c(0) = p$ (compare (4)), it follows that the fibre over $q \in M$ is equal to $\hat{G}_q (\|_0^1 c) u$. The elements in B^u leave the tube B_ξ invariant and B_ξ is induced by the “action” of B^u on $\perp M$. To be more concrete:

$$(8) \quad B_\xi = \{\psi \xi \mid \psi \in B^u\}.$$

Therefore, we often will denote some element of B_ξ by $\psi \xi$. In Lemma 4.2 we will see that the elements in B^u induce the normal parallel transport of $\tilde{B}_\xi$. And this helps us to show that $\tilde{B}_\xi$ has constant focal distances if and only if all elements in B^u preserve the focal structure of M (compare Lemma 4.4).

Lemma 4.2 *Let $\xi \in \perp_p M$ lie in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_p$ and let $\eta \in (B_\xi)_q$. We choose $\nu \in \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta) \subset \perp_q M$. Let $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a curve with $c(0) = q$ and $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow B^u$ a lift with $\psi(0) = Id_{\perp_q M}$. We claim that*

$$t \mapsto \exp_{*|\psi(t)\eta}^\perp(0, \psi(t)\nu)$$

is the parallel normal field of $\tilde{B}_\xi \subset N$ through $\exp_{|\eta}^\perp(0, \nu)$ along $t \mapsto \exp^N(\psi(t)\eta)$.*

proof Let $w_1, \dots, w_k$ be a basis of the normal space $A := \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta) \subset \perp_q M$. We define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{z}(s, t) &:= \exp_{*|s\psi(t)\eta}^\perp(0, \psi(t)\nu), \\ \tilde{w}_i(s, t) &:= \exp_{*|s\psi(t)\eta}^\perp(0, \psi(t)w_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, k. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $s \mapsto \tilde{z}(s, t)$ and $s \mapsto \tilde{w}_i(s, t)$ are parallel along the geodesic $s \mapsto \exp^N(s\psi(t)\eta)$ for all t , because A is abelian and therefore $\exp^N(A)$ a flat, totally geodesic submanifold. The vectors $\tilde{w}_i(1, t), i = 1, \dots, k$, span the normal space $\perp_{\exp^N(\psi(t)\eta)}(\tilde{B}_\xi)$ (compare (7)). In order to show that $\tilde{z}(1, t) = \exp_{*|\psi(t)\eta}^\perp(0, \psi(t)\nu)$ is a parallel normal vector field of $\tilde{B}_\xi$, it is sufficient to show

$$\left\langle \frac{\nabla^N}{dt} \Big|_{t=w} \tilde{z}(1, t), \tilde{w}_i(1, w) \right\rangle = 0$$

for $i = 1, \dots, k$. For $s = 0$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\langle \frac{\nabla^N}{dt} \Big|_{t=w} \tilde{z}(0, t), \tilde{w}_i(0, w) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\nabla^N}{dt} \Big|_{t=w} \exp_{*|0}^\perp \left(\frac{d}{dx} \Big|_{x=0} (x \mapsto x\psi(t)\nu) \right), \tilde{w}_i(0, w) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\nabla^N}{dx} \Big|_{x=0} \exp_{*|0}^\perp \left(\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=w} (t \mapsto x\psi(t)\nu) \right), \tilde{w}_i(0, w) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

But $t \mapsto \exp^\perp(x\psi(t)\nu)$ is a curve into $\tilde{B}_{x\nu}$, the tube through $\exp^N(x\nu)$. In the Remark in section 4.2 we saw that $\exp^\perp(\psi(w)A)$ meets the tube $\tilde{B}_{x\nu}$ perpendicularly. Hence, $x \mapsto \exp_*^\perp(\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=w}(x\psi(t)\nu))$ is a normal vector field of $\exp^\perp(\psi(w)A)$. As $\exp^\perp(\psi(w)A)$ is totally geodesic in N (and hence its shape operator vanishes) we get that $\frac{\nabla^N}{dx}\Big|_{x=0} \exp_*^\perp(\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=w}(x\psi(t)\nu))$ is perpendicular to $\psi(w)A$ and therefore

$$\left\langle \frac{\nabla^N}{dx}\Big|_{x=0} \exp_*^\perp\left(\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=w}(t \mapsto x\psi(t)\nu)\right), \tilde{w}_i(0, w) \right\rangle = 0.$$

It remains to show that $s \rightarrow \left\langle \frac{\nabla^N}{dt}\Big|_{t=w} \tilde{z}(s, t), \tilde{w}_i(s, w) \right\rangle$ is constant. Let therefore $\exp^N(s\psi(t)\eta) =: d(s, t) =: d^t(s) =: d_s(t)$.

$$\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=x} \left\langle \frac{\nabla^N}{dt}\Big|_{t=w} \tilde{z}(s, t), \tilde{w}_i(s, w) \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\nabla^N}{ds}\Big|_{s=x} \frac{\nabla^N}{dt}\Big|_{t=w} \tilde{z}(s, t), \tilde{w}_i(x, w) \right\rangle,$$

because $s \mapsto \tilde{w}_i(s, w)$ is parallel along $d^w(s)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left\langle \frac{\nabla^N}{dt}\Big|_{t=w} \frac{\nabla^N}{ds}\Big|_{s=x} \tilde{z}(s, t), \tilde{w}_i(x, w) \right\rangle + \left\langle R^N(\dot{d}^w(x), \dot{d}_x(w)) \tilde{z}(x, w), \tilde{w}_i(x, w) \right\rangle, \\ &= \left\langle R^N(\tilde{z}(x, w), \tilde{w}_i(x, w)) \dot{d}^w(x), \dot{d}_x(w) \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

because $s \mapsto \tilde{z}(s, w)$ is parallel along $d^w(s)$,

$$= 0,$$

because $\tilde{z}(x, w)$ and $\tilde{w}_i(x, w)$ are contained in an abelian subspace. *q.e.d.*

Corollary 4.2 $\tilde{B}_\xi$ has a globally flat normal bundle. To be more concrete: Let $\nu \in \perp_\xi(\hat{G}_p\xi) \subset \perp_p M$. Then, $B_\xi \rightarrow \perp \tilde{B}_\xi$, $\psi\xi \rightarrow \exp_{*|\psi\xi}^\perp(0, \psi\nu)$ is the parallel normal vector field of $\tilde{B}_\xi$ through $\exp_{*|\xi}^\perp(0, \nu)$.

proof We have to show that $\psi\xi \rightarrow \exp_{*|\psi\xi}^\perp(0, \psi\nu)$ is well defined. Let therefore ψ and $\varphi \in B^u$ such that $\psi\xi = \varphi\xi$. Then, $\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi \in \hat{G}_p$. As ξ lies in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_p$ the isotropy group $(\hat{G}_p)_\xi$ of ξ acts trivially on the normal space of the orbit $\hat{G}_p\xi$ in $\perp_p M$ by what we can conclude $\varphi^{-1} \circ \psi(\nu) = \nu$. Moreover, $\psi\xi \rightarrow \exp_{*|\psi\xi}^\perp(0, \psi\nu)$ is parallel by Lemma 4.2. *q.e.d.*

4.4 Constant focal distances

Lemma 4.3 Let $\rho \in \perp_q M$ be chosen arbitrarily (not necessarily in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_q$). Then,

$$\ker(\exp_{*|\rho}^\perp) = \ker(\exp_*^N|_{T_\rho B_\rho}),$$

where $T_\rho B_\rho$ is the tangent space of $B_\rho \subset \perp M$.

proof The normal space $\perp_\rho(B_\rho) \subset \perp M$ is contained in the commutator of ρ in $T_q N$ (compare Lemma 4.1). Thus, $R_\rho|_{\perp_\rho(B_\rho)} = 0$. Now, the proof follows by Lemma 2.1. *q.e.d.*

Definition 4.2 We say that a linear isometry $\psi : \perp_p M \rightarrow \perp_q M$ *preserves the focal structure of M* if for every focal vector $\eta \in \perp_p M$ of multiplicity m the vector $\psi(\eta)$ is a focal vector of multiplicity m , too.

Lemma 4.4 *The tube $\tilde{B}_\xi$ has constant focal distances if and only if all elements in B^u preserve the focal structure of M . This is equivalent to the fact that $\hat{G}_p$ and the normal parallel transport of M preserve the focal structure of M .*

proof Let $q \in M$ be chosen arbitrarily and $\eta \in (B_\xi)_q$. Let $\nu \in \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta) \subset \perp_q M$ and $\tilde{\nu} := \exp_{*|\eta}^\perp(0, \nu) \in \perp_{\exp^N(\eta)}(\tilde{B}_\xi)$. Let $\rho := \nu + \eta \in \perp_q M$. As ρ does not necessarily lie in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_q$, the tube B_ρ possibly has a smaller dimension than B_ξ . We can define a projection $\Omega_{\xi, \rho} : B_\xi \rightarrow B_\rho$ as follows:

Let us choose $\tilde{u} = (q, \tilde{u}_1, \dots, \tilde{u}_l) \in B^u$ and identify the elements $(z, v_1, \dots, v_l) \in B^u$ with the isometries $\perp_q M \rightarrow \perp_z M$, $(\tilde{u}_i \rightarrow v_i)_{i=1, \dots, l}$. Let

$$\Omega_{\xi, \rho}(\psi \eta) := \psi \rho$$

for all $\psi \in B^u$. This is well defined as one can see as follows: Let us choose ψ_1 and $\psi_2 \in B^u$ with $\psi_1 \eta = \psi_2 \eta$. It follows $\psi_1^{-1} \psi_2 \in (\hat{G}_q)_\eta$. As $\hat{G}_q$ acts polarly on $\perp_q M$ and η lies in a principal orbit of this polar action and $\rho \in \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta)$ we get $(\hat{G}_q)_\eta \subset (\hat{G}_q)_\rho$ (compare [PT], page 81). And so we get $\psi_1 \rho = \psi_2 \rho$, by what we can conclude that $\Omega_{\xi, \rho}$ is well defined. One can easily check that $\Omega_{\xi, \rho}$ is a surjective submersion.

Now, we want to assume that the elements in B^u preserve the focal structure of M . Let $\hat{\nu}$ be the parallel normal vector field of $\tilde{B}_\xi$ through $\tilde{\nu}$. In Corollary 4.2 we saw $\hat{\nu}(\psi \eta) = \exp_{*|\psi \eta}^\perp(0, \psi \nu)$.

As $\exp^N(\perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta))$ is flat and totally geodesic in N and $\nu \in \perp_\eta(\hat{G}_q \eta)$ we get

$$\exp^N(\rho) = \exp^N(\eta + \nu) = \exp^N(\exp_{*|\eta}^\perp(0, \nu)) = \exp^N(\tilde{\nu}) = \exp^N(\hat{\nu}(\eta)).$$

In the same way we get for all $\psi \eta \in B_\xi$:

$$(9) \quad \exp^N(\Omega_{\xi, \rho}(\psi \eta)) = \exp^N(\psi \rho) = \exp^N(\hat{\nu}(\psi \eta)).$$

So, we get

$$\dim(\ker(\exp_*^N | T_{\hat{\nu}(\psi \eta)}(\perp \tilde{B}_\xi))) = \dim(\ker(\exp_{*|\hat{\nu}(\psi \eta)}^\perp | (T_{\exp^N(\psi \eta)} \tilde{B}_\xi, 0)))$$

because $\tilde{B}_\xi$ has abelian normal bundle. By (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \dim(\ker(\Omega_{\xi, \rho})_{*|\psi \eta}) + \dim(\ker(\exp_*^N | T_{\psi \rho} B_\rho)) \\ &= \dim(\ker(\Omega_{\xi, \rho})_{*|\psi \eta}) + \dim(\ker(\exp_{*|\psi \eta}^\perp)) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 4.3. As $\Omega_{\xi, \eta}$ is a surjective submersion and therefore has constant rank, we get that $\tilde{B}_\xi$ has constant focal distances if the elements in B^u preserve the focal structure of M .

Now, we assume that $\tilde{B}_\xi$ has constant focal distances and we want to prove that the elements in B^u preserve the focal structure of M . Let us fix $\rho \in \perp_q M$ and $\eta \in (B_\xi)_q$. As $\perp_q M = \bigcup_{\tilde{\eta} \in \hat{G}_q \eta} \perp_{\tilde{\eta}}(\hat{G}_q \eta)$ we can find some $\tilde{\eta} \in \hat{G}_q \eta$ such that $\rho \in \perp_{\tilde{\eta}}(\hat{G}_q \eta)$. Let $\nu := \rho - \tilde{\eta}$ and $\tilde{\nu} := \exp_{*\tilde{\eta}}^\perp(0, \nu)$. The rest of the proof is the same as above. *q.e.d.*

Theorem 4.1 *Let M be simply connected and $i : M \rightarrow N$ an isometric immersion into a symmetric space which has nonpositive or nonnegative sectional curvature. Let M admit an ε -tube for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Let furthermore $\hat{G}_p \subset SO(\perp_p M)$ be the Lie group introduced in Definition 3.1, $\xi \in \perp_p M$ with $\|\xi\| < \varepsilon$ so that ξ lies in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_p$ and $\tilde{B}_\xi$ the tube around M as in (6). Then, $\tilde{B}_\xi$ is an immersed submanifold of N . The immersion $\exp^N : B_\xi \rightarrow N$ has globally flat and abelian normal bundle. If $\hat{G}_p$ and the normal parallel transport of M preserve the focal structure of M then the immersion is equifocal.*

Remark Let M be as in Theorem 4.1 and $\psi\xi \rightarrow \exp_{*\psi\xi}^\perp(0, -\psi\xi)$ the parallel normal vector field of $\tilde{B}_\xi$ through $\exp_{*\xi}^\perp(0, -\xi)$. Then, $\exp^N(\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{B}_\xi)) = M$. Hence, M is a focal manifold of the immersed equifocal manifold $\tilde{B}_\xi$ in N .

Remark The assumption that $\hat{G}_p$ and the normal parallel transport of M both shall preserve the focal structure of M is necessary. This means it cannot be replaced by the weaker condition of “constant focal distances” in the sense of section 1. Let $M \subset S^n$ for example be an isoparametric submanifold with codimension ≥ 2 . The holonomy tubes of M have at least the same codimension. Therefore they cannot have abelian normal bundle and so they cannot be equifocal. But the tube defined in this section has codimension 1. In general the group $\hat{G}_p$ will not preserve the focal structure of M . Thus, the tube $\tilde{B}_\xi$ will not be equifocal in N .

Remark The sectional curvature of N is bounded and one can apply the Comparison Theorem of Rauch (compare [CE], page 29) in order to show that M is complete if and only if the tube $\tilde{B}_\xi \subset N$ with the by N induced metric is complete. The proof is similar to the one in [HOT].

Remark Until now we assumed M to be simply connected. If this is not the case then let $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering of M . It is clear that $i \circ f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow N$ is an isometric immersion which admits an ε -tube in N if and only if i admits one. Now, we fix $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$ and $p := f(\tilde{p}) \in M$. We identify $\tilde{p}$ and p with $i(f(\tilde{p})) = i(p) \in N$. Let $\xi \in \perp_p M \cong \perp_{\tilde{p}} \tilde{M} \subset T_{i(p)} N$. Let $B_\xi \subset \perp \tilde{M}$ be the tube around M in the sense of section 4.1. We define $D_\xi \subset \perp M$ as follows:

$$D_\xi := \{(\|_0^1 c) \hat{G}_p \xi \mid c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, c(0) = p\},$$

where $\hat{G}_p$ is the connected Lie group introduced in Definition 3.1. The fibre of $D_\xi \rightarrow M$ over p is not necessarily connected. But by construction it is clear that

$$\exp^N(B_\xi) = \exp^N(D_\xi).$$

$\hat{G}_p$ and the normal parallel transport of M preserve the focal structure of M if and only if the same is true for $\tilde{M}$.

Corollary 4.3 *Let $\hat{G}_p$ be the connected Lie subgroup of $SO(\perp_p M)$ generated by G_p and the reduced normal holonomy group ϕ_p^* of M . Moreover, let $\xi \in \perp_p M$ lie in a principal orbit of $\hat{G}_p$ with $\|\xi\| < \varepsilon$ and $\tilde{B}_\xi := \exp^N(D_\xi)$ where $D_\xi \subset \perp M$ is defined as above. $\tilde{B}_\xi$ is an immersed submanifold of N . The immersion $\exp^N : D_\xi \rightarrow N$ has flat and abelian normal bundle. If $\hat{G}_p$ and the normal parallel transport of M preserve the focal structure of M then the immersion is locally equifocal and M is a focal manifold of $\tilde{B}_\xi$.*

5 A smooth variation of tori

Let (G, K) be a Riemannian symmetric pair of compact type and $N = G/K$ a corresponding symmetric space. Let $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ be a smooth curve and $E(t) \subset T_{c(t)}N$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ an abelian subspace of dimension d such that

$$E := \bigcup_{t \in [0, 1]} E(t)$$

is a smooth vector bundle along c . This means there exists some smooth orthonormal basis vector field along c that spans $E(t)$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Let us fix such a smooth orthonormal basis vector field $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$. Let $\psi_t^{t_0} : E(t_0) \rightarrow E(t)$ be the isometry given by $(v_i(t_0) \mapsto v_i(t))_{i=1, \dots, d}$.

Theorem 5.1 *If $\exp^N(E(t))$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ is a compact torus then there exists a lift $\tilde{b} : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ of $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow N$ such that $\tilde{b}(t)_* E(0) = E(t)$. Hence, $\tilde{b}(t)$ maps $\exp^N(E(0))$ to $\exp^N(E(t))$.*

Remark In Theorem 5.1 we can choose $\tilde{b}$ such that $\tilde{b}(0) = e$ because if $\tilde{b}$ satisfies the assumptions of the Theorem then $\tilde{b} \cdot (\tilde{b}(0)^{-1})$ does so, too.

Let $G_t \subset G$ be the identity component of the isotropy group in $c(t)$. Then, G_t acts linearly on $T_{c(t)}N$ by $(z, k) \mapsto k_* z$. We will usually write kz instead of $k_* z$. Let $z_0 \in T_{c(t)}N$ and $(G_t)_{z_0} := \{k \in G_t \mid kz_0 = z_0\}$.

Lemma 5.1 *The set $\hat{W}_l := \{z_0 \in E(t) \mid t \in [0, 1], \dim((G_t)_{z_0}) \leq l\}$ is an open subset of E for all l .*

proof We define $W_l := \{z_0 \in T_{c(0)}N \mid \dim((G_0)_{z_0}) \leq l\}$. By [PT], page 81, W_l is open in $T_{c(0)}N$. Moreover, $W_0 \subset W_1 \subset \dots$. Let $\tilde{c} : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ be a lift of c with $\tilde{c}(0) = e$. Now, the proof follows easily by the fact that $\{z_0 \in T_{c(t)}N \mid \dim((G_t)_{z_0}) \leq l\} = \tilde{c}(t)_*(W_l)$. *q.e.d.*

Let $k := \min\{l \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \hat{W}_l \neq \emptyset\}$. Let furthermore $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ and $\xi \in E(t_0) \cap \hat{W}_k$ be fixed, let $K := G_{t_0}$ be the isotropy group in $c(t_0)$ and

$$\xi(t) := \psi_t^{t_0}(\xi).$$

Let $I_0 \subset [0, 1]$ be an open interval such that $\xi(t) \in \hat{W}_k$ for all $t \in I_0$.

Let $\hat{c} : I_0 \rightarrow G$ be a smooth lift of $c|_{I_0}$ with $\hat{c}(t_0) = e$ such that $\hat{c}(t)c(t_0) = c(t)$. Then, $(t \mapsto \hat{c}(t)_*^{-1}(E(t))) =: \hat{E}(t)$ is a smooth vector bundle consisting of abelian subspaces of $T_{c(t_0)}N$. We define

$$h(t) := \hat{c}(t)_*^{-1}(\xi(t)).$$

Let $K\xi \subset T_{c(t_0)}N$ be the orbit of K through ξ . There exists an r -tube around $K\xi$ in $T_{c(t_0)}N$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$. For $x \in K\xi$ let $S_x := \{\mu \in \perp_x(K\xi) \mid \|\mu\| < r\}$. As h is smooth and $h(t_0) = \xi$ we can find an open interval $J_0 \subset I_0$ such that $h(J_0)$ is contained in the r -tube around $K\xi$. Thus, we can find a smooth curve $\gamma : J_0 \rightarrow K\xi$ such that $h(t) \in S_{\gamma(t)}$ for all $t \in J_0$. Let $o(t), t \in J_0$, be a smooth curve in K with $o(t)\xi = \gamma(t)$.

Lemma 5.2 $\{o(t)^{-1}(\hat{E}(t)) \mid t \in J_0\}$ is contained in an abelian subspace of $T_{c(t_0)}N$.

proof $K_y \subset K_x$ for all $y \in S_x$ and for all $x \in K\xi$ (compare [PT], page 81). For $y \in S_{\gamma(t)} \cap \hat{E}(t)$ we get $\dim(K_y) \geq k = \dim(K_\xi) = \dim(K_{\gamma(t)})$ (compare the definition of k). Therefore $K_y = K_{\gamma(t)}$. Let

$$A(t) := \perp_{\gamma(t)}(K\xi) = \{\eta \in T_{c(t_0)}N \mid R^N(\eta, \gamma(t)) = 0\}$$

be the normal space of the orbit $K\xi$ in $\gamma(t)$. Then,

$$A(t) = \perp_y(Ky) = \{\eta \in T_{c(t_0)}N \mid R^N(\eta, y) = 0\}$$

for all $y \in S_{\gamma(t)} \cap \hat{E}(t)$ (the proof is similar to the one that K acts polarly on $T_{c(t_0)}N$). Especially, we get $\hat{E}(t) \subset \{\eta \in T_{c(t_0)}N \mid R^N(\eta, h(t)) = 0\} = A(t)$ for all $t \in J_0$, because $h(t) \in S_{\gamma(t)} \cap \hat{E}(t)$ and $\hat{E}(t)$ is abelian. $S_{\gamma(t)}$ is open in $A(t)$ and so $S_{\gamma(t)} \cap \hat{E}(t)$ is open in $\hat{E}(t)$. We can choose a basis $\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}$ of $\hat{E}(t)$ such that $v_i \in S_{\gamma(t)}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, d$. Thus, we get

$$R^N(\hat{E}(t), A(t)) = 0.$$

It follows that $R^N(o(t)^{-1}(\hat{E}(t)), o(t)^{-1}(A(t))) = R^N(o(t)^{-1}(\hat{E}(t)), A(0)) = 0$. Especially $R^N(o(t)^{-1}(\hat{E}(t)), o(\tilde{t})^{-1}(\hat{E}(\tilde{t}))) = 0$ for all $t, \tilde{t} \in J_0$. Thus, $\{o(t)^{-1}(\hat{E}(t)) \mid t \in J_0\}$ is contained in a maximal abelian subspace of $T_{c(t_0)}N$. *q.e.d.*

We denote by $B \subset T_{c(t_0)}N$ the maximal abelian subspace. As N is a symmetric space of compact type $\exp^N(B)$ is compact and therefore a torus. By assumption

$$\exp^N(o(t)^{-1}(\hat{E}(t))) = o(t)^{-1}\hat{c}(t)^{-1}(\exp^N(E(t)))$$

are compact tori, too. So, it only remains to prove:

Lemma 5.3 *Let T be a torus of dimension l , T_0T the tangent space in $0 \in T$ and $t \mapsto E(t) \subset T_0T$ ($t \in J_0$) a smooth vector bundle of subspaces of dimension $d \leq l$ such that $\exp^T(E(t))$ are subtori for all $t \in J_0$. Then, $E(t) = E(0)$ for all $t \in J_0$.*

proof Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_l\}$ be the lattice of T . Let $G^{\mathbb{R}}(d, l)$ be the Grassmannian manifold of all d -planes in $\mathbb{R}^l$. The subset $M \subset G^{\mathbb{R}}(d, l)$ consisting of all d -planes spanned by basis vectors of the form

$$a^1 := \sum_{i=1}^l a_i^1 x_i, \dots, a^d := \sum_{i=1}^l a_i^d x_i \text{ with } a_i^1, \dots, a_i^d \in \mathbb{Z},$$

(this means which contain a full lattice) is countable. $E : J_0 \rightarrow G^{\mathbb{R}}(d, l)$ is smooth and $E(J_0) \subset M$. Hence, we get $E(t) = E(0)$ for all $t \in J_0$. *q.e.d.*

Corollary 5.1 *Let $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ such that $\hat{W}_k \cap E(t_0) \neq \emptyset$. There exists an open interval $J_0 \subset [0, 1]$ with $t_0 \in J_0$ and a smooth curve $w : J_0 \rightarrow G$ such that $w(t)_*E(t_0) = E(t)$.*

proof $\hat{c}(t)o(t) =: w(t)$ is the curve we are looking for. *q.e.d.*

proof of Theorem 5.1 Let $G_d^{\mathbb{R}}(N)$ be the Grassmannian manifold of all d -planes in the tangent bundle of N . Then, G acts on $G_d^{\mathbb{R}}(N)$ by

$$(g, \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_d\}) \mapsto \text{span}(\{g_*v_1, \dots, g_*v_d\}),$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_d$ are linearly independent. As G is compact the orbits are embedded submanifolds of $G_d^{\mathbb{R}}(N)$. And the projection of G onto any orbit is a Riemannian submersion. Let $J_0 \subset [0, 1]$ be the maximal interval with $t_0 \in J_0$ such that $E(J_0) \subset G \cdot E(t_0)$, where $G \cdot E(t_0)$ is the orbit of G through $E(t_0)$. By Corollary 5.1 we get that J_0 is open (because the Corollary is true for any $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $\hat{W}_k \cap E(t) \neq \emptyset$). But $t \mapsto E(t)$ is a smooth curve into $G_d^{\mathbb{R}}(N)$ by assumption (E is a smooth vector bundle). Hence, J_0 is closed, and therefore $J_0 = [0, 1]$. As $t \mapsto E(t)$ is contained in an orbit it can be lifted to a smooth curve $\tilde{b}$ into G . *q.e.d.*

Lemma 5.4 *Let $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ be a smooth curve with $g(0) = e$ and $E \subset T_p N$ be an abelian subspace such that $\exp^N(E)$ is compact. Let furthermore $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}g(t)p$ be perpendicular to E . Then, the vector field defined by*

$$X : \exp^N(E) \rightarrow TN, \quad y \mapsto \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} g(t)y$$

is a normal vector field of $\exp^N(E)$.

proof Let $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \exp^N(E)$ be any geodesic. Then, $t \mapsto g(t)(\gamma)$ is a smooth variation of geodesics in N . Hence, $X \circ \gamma$ is a Jacobi vector field. The orthogonal projection $(X)^\perp \circ \gamma$ of $X \circ \gamma$ to $\exp^N(E)$ is a Jacobi vector field, too. We especially get $\langle X^\perp(\gamma(t)), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = at + b$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. As $\exp^N(E)$ is compact the norm of the vector field X is bounded. Thus, we see $\langle X^\perp(\gamma(t)), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = b$, by which $\langle (\nabla^N X^\perp)(\dot{\gamma}(t)), \dot{\gamma}(t) \rangle = 0$ follows. As this is true for any geodesic, $X^\perp$ is a Killing vector field along $\exp^N(E)$ and therefore trivial, because $\exp^N(E)$ is a flat torus and $0 = (\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} g(t)p)^\perp = X^\perp(p)$ by assumption. Hence, X is a normal vector field along $\exp^N(E)$. *q.e.d.*

Corollary 5.2 *Let M be a submanifold of a symmetric space N of compact type and let M have abelian and compact normal bundle. Here, we say that M has compact normal bundle if $\exp^N(\perp_p M)$ is compact for all $p \in M$. Let $c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ be a curve and $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$, $g(0) = e$ (where $G = I_0(M)$), a curve which induces isometries of the tori $\exp^N(\perp_{c(0)} M) \rightarrow \exp^N(\perp_{c(t)} M)$ (as in Theorem 5.1). These isometries induce the $\nabla^\perp$ -parallel transport. We especially see that M has flat normal bundle because ϕ_p^* ($p \in M$) is contained in the isotropy group of the isometry group of a torus and therefore trivial. If M is not simply connected then M does not necessarily have globally flat normal bundle.*

proof Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $v \in \perp_{c(0)} M$ and $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \exp^N(\perp_{c(s)} M)$ the geodesic with $\dot{\gamma}(0) = g(s)_* v$. Let X be the vector field induced by g along $\exp^N(\perp_{c(s)} M)$. That is to say $X(q) := \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=s} g(t)g(s)^{-1}q$ for all $q \in \exp^N(\perp_{c(s)} M)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\nabla^N}{dt} \Big|_{t=s} g(t)_* v &= \frac{\nabla^N}{dt} \Big|_{t=s} g(t)_* g(s)^{-1} g(s)_* v \\
&= \frac{\nabla^N}{dt} \Big|_{t=s} \frac{d}{dw} \Big|_{w=0} g(t)g(s)^{-1} \gamma(w) \\
&= \frac{\nabla^N}{dw} \Big|_{w=0} \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=s} g(t)g(s)^{-1} \gamma(w) \\
&= \frac{\nabla^N}{dw} \Big|_{w=0} X(\gamma(w)) \\
&= \nabla^N X(\dot{\gamma}(0)).
\end{aligned}$$

By the last Lemma X is a normal vector field of $\exp^N(\perp_{c(s)} M)$. As $\exp^N(\perp_{c(s)} M)$ is totally geodesic in N , we see that $\nabla^N X(\dot{\gamma}(0))$ is normal to $\exp^N(\perp_{c(s)} M)$. This means that $\frac{\nabla^N}{dt}|_{t=s} g(t)_* v = \nabla^N X(\dot{\gamma}(0))$ is perpendicular to $\perp_{c(s)} M$. Hence, we get $g(t)_* v = (\|_0^t c)v$. *q.e.d.*

6 Focalizations of equifocal submanifolds

Let (G, K) be a Riemannian symmetric pair of compact type such that $N = G/K$ is simply connected. Let N be endowed with the metric that comes from the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$. Let $\tilde{M} \subset N$ be a complete, connected, equifocal submanifold, M a focal manifold of $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{B}_\xi$ the tube constructed in section 4 (compare (5) and (6)) (for $\xi \in \perp M$, $\exp^N(\xi) \in \tilde{M}$). We will show that $\tilde{M}$ and $\tilde{B}_\xi$ are equal. The fact that $\tilde{M}$ has abelian and compact normal bundle (which was proved by Terng and Thorbergsson, compare [TT], Theorem 6.15) and the normal parallel transport of $\tilde{M}$ therefore is given by isometries of the ambient space (compare Corollary 5.2), is an important step in the proof.

So, let $\tilde{M}$ be an embedded, complete, connected, equifocal submanifold in N , $\tilde{\xi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \perp \tilde{M}$ a parallel normal vector field of $\tilde{M}$ and $M := \exp^N(\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{M}))$. Then, M is a submanifold of N (compare page 3 in [TT]).

Lemma 6.1 *Let $q \in M$ and $\eta \in \perp_q M$ with $z := \exp^N(\eta) \in \tilde{M}$. Then, $\text{Hol}_\eta(M) \subset \tilde{M}$.*

proof As the normal space of $\tilde{M}$ in z is abelian we get $T_q(\exp^N(\perp_z \tilde{M})) \subset \perp_q M$ (compare Lemma 2.1). By the fact that $T_z(\text{Hol}_\eta(M)) = \exp_{*|\eta}^\perp(T_q M, 0)$ and Lemma 2.1 it follows that $\perp_z \tilde{M} \subset \perp_z(\text{Hol}_\eta(M))$. Hence, $T_z(\text{Hol}_\eta(M)) \subset T_z \tilde{M}$. The proof then follows by the Theorem of Frobenius on foliations, because $\tilde{M}$ induces a foliation on N in such a way that the parallel manifolds are equifocal again and M is a focal manifold of each of them. *q.e.d.*

Remark M is not necessarily simply connected. Let $f : U \rightarrow M$ be the universal covering, $\tilde{p} \in U$ and $\xi \in \perp_{f(\tilde{p})} M = \perp_{\tilde{p}} U$. Moreover, let B_ξ be the tube around U defined in (5) and D_ξ the tube around M defined at the end of section 4. We already saw (compare Corollary 4.3) $\exp^N(B_\xi) = \exp^N(D_\xi) = \tilde{B}_\xi$.

Lemma 6.2 *Let $\xi \in \perp_p M$ such that $\exp^N(\xi) \in \tilde{M}$. Then, $\tilde{B}_\xi = \tilde{M}$.*

proof Let $\sigma : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$, $z \rightarrow \exp^N(\tilde{\xi}(z))$ be the canonical projection. σ has constant rank. Let $\sigma^{-1}(\{q\}) \subset \tilde{M}$ be the fibre over q and

$$\tilde{\sigma}_q := (\exp_q^N | \perp_q M)^{-1}(\sigma^{-1}(\{q\})) \subset \perp_q M.$$

Let $\hat{\sigma}_p$ be the connected component of $\tilde{\sigma}_p$ with $\xi \in \hat{\sigma}_p$. In order to show $\tilde{B}_\xi = \tilde{M}$ it is sufficient to show $\hat{\sigma}_p = \hat{G}_p \xi$. Because by Lemma 6.1 we then get $\tilde{B}_\xi = \tilde{M}$.

We first want to show $\hat{G}_p \eta \subset \hat{\sigma}_p$ for all $\eta \in \hat{\sigma}_p$. Let us therefore fix $\rho \in \tilde{\sigma}_q$ and $\tilde{A} := \exp^N(\perp_{\exp^N(\rho)} \tilde{M})$. By the fact that $\tilde{A}$ is flat and totally geodesic in N we get

$$\perp_\rho(\tilde{\sigma}_q) = T_q(\exp^N(\tilde{A})),$$

where $\perp_\rho(\tilde{\sigma}_q)$ is the normal space of $\tilde{\sigma}_q$ in $\perp_q M$. Let $\nu \in \perp_\rho(\tilde{\sigma}_q)$. As ρ and ν are contained in an abelian subspace of $T_q N$ we get $\langle R_q^N(x, y)\rho, \nu \rangle = \langle R_q^N(\rho, \nu)x, y \rangle = 0$ for all $x, y \in \perp_q M$. Thus, $\tilde{R}_q(x, y)\rho \in T_\rho(\tilde{\sigma}_q)$ (for the definition of $\tilde{R}_q$ compare (1)). This can be done for any q .

On the other hand we already saw $\exp^N((\|_0^1 c)\eta) \in \tilde{M}$ for all curves $c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$, $c(0) = p$ and $\eta \in \hat{\sigma}_p$. And so we get

$$(10) \quad \tau_c(\tilde{R}_{c(1)})(x, y)\eta \in T_\eta(\hat{\sigma}_p)$$

for any $x, y \in \perp_p M$ and $\eta \in \hat{\sigma}_p$, $\nu \in \perp_\eta(\hat{\sigma}_p)$ (for the definition of $\tau_c(\tilde{R}_{c(1)})$ compare (2)).

Terng and Thorbergsson showed in [TT] that $\hat{\sigma}_p$ is isoparametric in $\perp_p M$ and induces a (singular) foliation. The leaves of this foliation are mapped by $\exp^N$ to the leaves of the equifocal foliation in N . A leaf D nearby $\hat{\sigma}_p$ is mapped to a regular leaf in N (this means to an equifocal submanifold in N).

Let $\tilde{R}, \bar{R} \in \hat{R}_p$ and $x, y, \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \perp_p M$ be chosen arbitrarily (for the definition of $\hat{R}_p$ compare (3)). Let W be the *fundamental vector field* corresponding to $\tilde{R}(x, y)$ (this is to say $W(\eta) = \frac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \exp^{\hat{G}_p}(t\tilde{R}(x, y))\eta$ for all $\eta \in \perp_p M$) and $\bar{W}$ the fundamental vector field corresponding to $\bar{R}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ respectively. By (10) we get $[W, \bar{W}]_\eta \subset T_\eta(\hat{\sigma}_p)$ for all $\eta \in \hat{\sigma}_p$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the Lie bracket for vector fields. But $[W, \bar{W}]$ is the fundamental vector field corresponding to $-\tilde{R}(x, y), \bar{R}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})]_{\mathcal{L}_p}$. Hence, $T_\eta(G_p\eta) \subset T_\eta(\hat{\sigma}_p)$. By the Theorem of Frobenius we get $G_p\eta \subset \hat{\sigma}_p$. We already saw $\phi_p^*\eta \subset \hat{\sigma}_p$ (compare Lemma 6.1). And so we get

$$(11) \quad \hat{G}_p\eta \subset \hat{\sigma}_p.$$

Now, we want to prove $\hat{\sigma}_p \subset \hat{G}_p\eta$ for $\eta \in \hat{\sigma}_p$. Let us therefore fix a curve $\tilde{c} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}_p$, $\tilde{c}(0) = \eta$. Let $\tilde{\eta} \in \perp_{\exp^N(\eta)} \tilde{M}$ such that $\exp^N(\tilde{\eta}) = p$ and $\tilde{\eta}(s)$ be the parallel normal vector field of $\tilde{M}$ along $\exp^N(\tilde{c})$ with $\tilde{\eta}(0) = \tilde{\eta}$. As the normal spaces are tori there exists a curve $k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ such that $k(t)_*\tilde{\eta}(0) = \tilde{\eta}(t)$ (compare Corollary 5.2). But $\exp^N(\tilde{\eta}(t)) = p$ for all t . Hence, we get $k(t)p = p$ for all t , this means $k(t) \in K_p$, the isotropy group of p . It follows

$$(12) \quad \hat{\sigma}_p \subset K_p\eta \subset T_p N.$$

Let $W \subset SO(\perp_p M)$ be the connected Lie subgroup whose Lie algebra is generated by $\{\tilde{R}_p(x, y) \mid x, y \in \perp_p M\}$. Then, $W \subset \hat{G}_p$ by construction. Moreover, $\perp_\eta(W\eta) \cap \perp_p M \subset \perp_\eta(K_p\eta)$ for all $\eta \in \perp_p M$ as one can see as follows: Let $v \in \perp_\eta(W\eta) \cap \perp_p M$. For all $x, y \in \perp_p M$ we get $\langle R^N(\eta, v)x, y \rangle = \langle R^N(x, y)\eta, v \rangle = 0$ which implies $R^N(\eta, v) = 0$, because N is a symmetric space with nonnegative sectional curvature (compare Lemma 3.1). Hence, η and v are contained in an abelian subspace of $T_p N$. Therefore, $v \in \perp_\eta(K_p\eta)$.

We get $T_\eta(K_p\eta) \cap \perp_p M \subset T_\eta(W\eta)$. By $T_\eta(W\eta) \subset T_\eta(\hat{G}_p\eta) \subset T_\eta(\hat{\sigma}_p)$ (compare (11)) we then get $T_\eta(W\eta) = T_\eta(\hat{G}_p\eta) = T_\eta(\hat{\sigma}_p)$ (compare (12)).

Thus, $\tilde{B}_\xi$ and $\tilde{M}$ have the same dimension. As both manifolds are connected and complete we get $\tilde{M} = \tilde{B}_\xi$. *q.e.d.*

By Lemma 4.4 and the last Remark at the end of section 4.4 we can see that $\hat{G}_p$ and the normal parallel transport of M preserve the focal structure of M .

Lemma 6.3 *Let (G, K) be a Riemannian symmetric pair of compact type, such that $N = G/K$ is simply connected. Let N be endowed with the Killing metric. Let $\tilde{M} \subset N$ be an equifocal submanifold and M a focal manifold. Then, $\hat{G}_p$ and the normal parallel transport of M preserve the focal structure of M .*

Corollary 6.1 *We especially see that this is true for the singular orbits of hyperpolar actions.*

proof It remains to show that the singular orbits are focal manifolds of the principal orbits. This follows by Corollary 5.2, because the elements in H of a given homogeneous equifocal manifold $\tilde{M} = H/\tilde{H} \subset N$ induce the normal parallel translation of $\tilde{M}$. *q.e.d.*

Remark Let M be a focal manifold of an equifocal one. The proof of Lemma 6.2 shows that $\hat{G}_p\eta = W\eta$, where $W \subset SO(\perp_p M)$ is the Lie subgroup, whose Lie algebra is generated by $\{\hat{R}_p(x, y) | x, y \in \perp_p M\}$. We especially see $\phi_p^*\eta \subset W\eta$. This implies that the reduced normal holonomy group ϕ_p^* acts trivially on V_0^p . In Lemma 3.3 we saw $\phi_p^*|(V_1^p \oplus \dots \oplus V_k^p) \subset G_p$. As ϕ_p^* acts trivially on V_0^p we get $\phi_p^* \subset G_p$. And we get $\{(\|_0^1 c)\hat{G}_p\xi | c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, c(0) = p\} = \{(\|_0^1 c)W\xi | c : [0, 1] \rightarrow M, c(0) = p\} =: D_\xi$.

Theorem 6.1 *Let (G, K) be a Riemannian symmetric pair of compact type, such that $N = G/K$ is simply connected. Let N be endowed with the Killing metric. Let $\tilde{M} \subset N$ be equifocal and M a focal manifold. Let $\xi \in \perp_p M$ with $\exp^N(\xi) \in \tilde{M}$. Then, we get $\tilde{B}_\xi = \exp^N(D_\xi) = \tilde{M}$. Moreover, W acts polarly on $\perp_p M$.*

An interesting special case is the following:

Theorem 6.2 *Let (G, K) be a Riemannian symmetric pair of compact type, such that $N = G/K$ is simply connected. Let N be endowed with the Killing metric. Let $\tilde{M} \subset N$ be an equifocal submanifold which has some parallel normal vector field $\tilde{\xi} : \tilde{M} \rightarrow \perp \tilde{M}$ such that $\exp^N(\tilde{\xi}(\tilde{M})) = \{p\}$, where $p = [K]$ without loss of generality. Then, $\tilde{M}$ is an orbit of K .*

Examples

- (1) *Inhomogeneous examples:* Ferus, Karcher and Münzner construct in [FKM] series of inhomogeneous, isoparametric families with codimension 1 in spheres. In order to do this they use the theory of Clifford algebras and Clifford systems.

Some of their examples in spheres of odd dimension are invariant under the canonical S^1 -action on $S^{2m+1} \subset \mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ and can be projected to inhomogeneous equifocal families in the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^m$ (compare [Wan]).

- (2) *Homogeneous examples:* The principal orbits of hyperpolar actions are equifocal (compare [TT], Theorem 2.1). A very interesting class of hyperpolar actions was introduced by [Her]. Let (G, K) and $(G, \tilde{K})$ be two Riemannian symmetric pairs of compact type. Then, K acts hyperpolarly on $G/\tilde{K}$.
- (1) Let for example $G := \mathrm{SU}(n+1)$, $K := S(U(n-k) \times U(k+1))$ and $\tilde{K} := S(U(n) \times U(1))$. Then, $S(U(n-k) \times U(k+1))$ acts hyperpolarly on $\mathbb{C}P^n = \mathrm{SU}(n+1)/S(U(n) \times U(1))$. It is easy to check that $\mathbb{C}P^k$ and $\mathbb{C}P^{n-k-1}$ (both totally geodesically embedded into $\mathbb{C}P^n$) are singular orbits of this action. Thus, the principal orbits are *full tubes* around them. (Here we call $\exp^N(\perp^r(M))$ a *full tube* of $M \subset N$, where $\perp^r(M) := \{v \in \perp M \mid \|v\| = r\}$.) This is so because $\mathbb{C}P^n$ has rank 1.
- (2) Let G and $\tilde{K}$ be defined as before and $K := \mathrm{SO}(n+1)$. It is easy to check that $\mathbb{R}P^n$ (totally geodesically embedded) and the complex quadric Q^{n-1} are singular orbits. The principal orbits again are full tubes around them.

There exist hyperpolar actions (on symmetric spaces of rank 1) that are not of the type described above. Takagi for example classified the cohomogeneity one actions on $\mathbb{C}P^n$ (compare [Tak]). Among them one can find hyperpolar actions which are not of ‘‘Hermann-type’’.

Remark The fact that full, compact, irreducible isoparametric submanifolds of codimension ≥ 3 of the Euclidean space are orbits of s -representations was firstly shown by Thorbergsson (compare [Tho]). Later Olmos found another proof of the same fact (compare [Ol2]). An important step in his proof is the so-called ‘‘Homogeneous Slice Theorem’’. This Theorem says that the fibres of the projection $\tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ of a compact, irreducible, isoparametric submanifold $\tilde{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ onto a full focal manifold M are homogeneous. They are orbits of some polar action (compare [HOT]). Recently, Heintze and Liu gave another proof of Thorbergssons Theorem - not only for isoparametric submanifolds of the Euclidean space, but more generally for isoparametric submanifolds of Hilbert spaces. They again used the Homogeneous Slice Theorem (compare [HL]). Theorem 6.1 of this paper is an analogue for equifocal submanifolds of symmetric spaces of compact type. But our situation is more complicated than the situation of the Homogeneous Slice Theorem, because the ambient space is more complicated. Therefore we have to consider the curvature of the ambient space in order to construct D_ξ .

Open questions:

- (1) Are equifocal submanifolds of codimension ≥ 2 of irreducible symmetric spaces of compact type homogeneous? The examples of Wang we considered before have codimension 1.

- (2) We already saw that there does not exist more than one equifocal family to a given focal manifold. Is there a way to conclude from the geometry of the focal manifold whether the corresponding equifocal family is homogeneous or not?
- (3) Which properties does the normal holonomy tube have? In which cases do normal holonomy tube and the tube $\tilde{B}_\varepsilon$ constructed in this paper coincide?

Acknowledgment The results of this paper were obtained during my stay at the Universidad Nacional de Córdoba in Argentina with a scholarship from DAAD (“DAAD-Doktoranden-Stipendium aus Mitteln des zweiten Hochschulsonderprogramms”). I would like to thank DAAD for the financial support and the members of the Department of Mathematics in Córdoba for their hospitality. In particular I would like to thank Carlos Olmos for the many fruitful discussions and valuable comments.

References

- [AS] *W. Ambrose und I.M. Singer*, A theorem on holonomy, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1953), 428-443.
- [CE] *J. Cheeger, D. G. Ebin*, Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry, North-Holland, Amsterdam Oxford, 1975.
- [Dad] *J. Dadok*, Polar coordinates induced by actions of compact Lie groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **288** (1985), 125-137.
- [FKM] *D. Ferus, H. Karcher, H. F. Münzner*, Cliffordalgebren und neue isoparametrische Hyperflächen, Math. Z. **177** (1981), 479-502.
- [HOT] *E. Heintze, C. Olmos, G. Thorbergsson*, Submanifolds with constant principal curvatures and normal holonomy groups, Intern. J. Math. **2** (1991), 167-175.
- [HPTT] *E. Heintze, R. S. Palais, C. L. Terng, G. Thorbergsson*, Hyperpolar actions on symmetric spaces, in: Geometry, topology and physics, Conf. proc. lecture notes geom. topology, International Press, Cambridge, 1995, 214-245.
- [HL] *E. Heintze, X. Liu*, Homogeneity of infinite dimensional isoparametric submanifolds, to appear in Annals of Math.
- [Hel] *S. Helgason*, Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, New-York London, 1978.
- [Her] *R. Hermann*, Variational completeness for compact symmetric spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 544-546.

- [KN1] *S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu*, Foundations of Differential geometry, Volume 1, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1963.
- [KN2] *S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu*, Foundations of Differential geometry, Volume 2, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1969.
- [Ol1] *C. Olmos*, The normal holonomy group, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 813-818.
- [Ol2] *C. Olmos*, Isoparametric submanifolds and their homogeneous structures, J. Differential Geom. **38** (1993), 225-234.
- [OT1] *H. Ozeki, M. Takeuchi*, On some types of isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres (1), Tôhoku Math. J. **127** (1975), 515-559.
- [OT2] *H. Ozeki, M. Takeuchi*, On some types of isoparametric hypersurfaces in spheres (2), Tôhoku Math. J. **28** (1976), 7-55.
- [PT] *R. S. Palais, C. L. Terng*, Critical point theory and submanifold geometry, Lecture Notes Math. **1353**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin New-York, 1988.
- [Sim] *J. Simons*, On the transitivity of holonomy systems, Ann. Math. **76** (1962), 213-234.
- [Tak] *R. Takagi*, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. **10** (1973), 495-506.
- [TT] *C. L. Terng, G. Thorbergsson*, Submanifold geometry in symmetric spaces, J. Differential Geom. **42** (1995), 665-715.
- [Tho] *G. Thorbergsson*, Isoparametric foliations and their buildings, Ann. Math. **133** (1991), 429-446.
- [Wan] *Q. M. Wang*, Isoparametric hypersurfaces in complex projective spaces, in: Differential geometry and differential equations, Proc. 1980 Beijing Sympos. **3**, 1982, 1509-1523.

Universität zu Köln, Weyertal 86-90, D-50931 Köln
E-mail address: mbrueck@mi.uni-koeln.de